

REMARKS

This Reply is in response to the Office Action mailed June 23, 2006. In that Office communication, on page 2 under **Response to Applicant's Non-Final Rejection**, the Examiner notes that she is unable to find an amendment in Claim 1 of Applicant's Reply filed on May 15, 2006.

Reproduced below is Claim 1 as submitted on May 15, 2006. The amendment which was made to Claim 1 consists of the addition of the word "a" on the eighth line of the claim, after the word "generating" in response to a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph in the Office Action for "Insufficient antecedent basis" for the data warehouse. Since the only reason for such a rejection is that the first occurrence of a limitation is not introduced with an indefinite article, Applicant presumed that such indefinite article was missing from the PTO copy of the claim and seeks to remedy such situation. The added word was underlined and the claim was properly designated as "Currently Amended."

If, on the other hand, the original 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection was in error in the first place, then such addition is not necessary and the Examiner is authorized to withdraw the rejection and allow the claim without further amendment. The Examiner has also previously been authorized to amend this or any other claims as necessary to overcome any other instances of Insufficient antecedent basis that the Examiner believes she has found.

Claim 1 is reproduced below as this claim existed in the previous reply for the convenience of the Examiner.

1. (Currently Amended): An apparatus comprising:

- a memory,
- a bus,
- a processor, coupled to the memory by the bus, the processor operative to carry out the steps of:
 - receiving a definition of a reverse star schema meta-model;
 - receiving a definition of at least one customer profile group;
 - generating a data warehouse populated with information from a source database in accordance with the reverse star schema meta-model;
 - receiving input indicating at least one quantity of interest in the information;
 - receiving a definition for a data model;
 - dynamically creating at least one generated database with information from the data warehouse based upon the data model, the customer profile group and configured to the quantity of interest; and
 - displaying at least a portion of the dynamically generated database.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for extending the time to respond up to and including today, July 25, 2006.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Date: July 25, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Paul A. Durdik
Reg. No. 37,819

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone: (415) 362-3800 x227
Facsimile: (415) 362-2928
Customer No. 23910

- 4 -

Attorney Docket No.: HHPI-01000US2
Pdurdik/hhpi/1000us2/1000us2.ReplyE.doc

200.002:010204
07/25/06-15:04